

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,593	01/12/2001	Evan E. Koslow	KXIN 100017000	KXIN 100017000 9420	
22891 7590 07/27/2007 LAW OFFICE OF DELIO & PETERSON, LLC. 121 WHITNEY AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			POPOVICS,	· POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
	3RD FLLOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			• 07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	. 09/759,593	KOSLOW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert J. Popovics	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under M</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-14,17-23 and 38-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 47-52 is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-14,17-23 and 38-46 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/759,593

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-14,17-23 and 38-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Amended claim 1 now uses the term "sufficiently." It is unclear what this term is intended to define. "Sufficient" to do/accomplish what?

It is noted that limitations were added to claim 1. It appears that the dependent claims were not amended to delete limitations which are redundant/duplicative in view of the amendments made to claim 1. For example, claim 8 appears to now redundantly recite a "filtration medium." Likewise, claim 39 appears to redundantly specify a "filter." Applicants are urged to carefully review all dependent claims (e.g. claim 39) for similar redundancies and/or inconsistencies with any amendments made.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724